Deprotection of 1,3-Dithianes by 2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-p-benzoquinone (DDQ)

Kiyoshi TANEMURA, * Hiroshi DOHYA, † Masanori IMAMURA, †

Tsuneo SUZUKI, and Takaaki HORAGUCHI†

School of Dentistry at Niigata, The Nippon Dental University,

Hamaura-cho 1-8, Niigata 951

† Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Niigata University,

Ikarashi, Niigata 950-21

A variety of 1,3-dithianes were converted to the corresponding carbonyl compounds in good yields by treatment with 1.5 equiv. of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-p-benzoquinone (DDQ) in MeCN-H $_2$ O (9:1).

1, 3-Dithianes are useful protecting groups for carbonyl functions in synthetic organic chemistry. 1) The deprotection of 1,3-dithianes is performed by mercury (II) salts-induced, halogenative, and oxidative hydrolysis. 1) However, it is necessary to develop an efficient new method because mercury salts are highly toxic and some oxidative methods require inconvenient procedures.

In the connection with our synthetic study using DDQ, we became interested in the deprotection of 1,3-dithianes by DDQ, since acetals were easily deprotected by a catalytic amount of DDQ. $^{2,3)}$ In this paper, we report that 1,3-dithianes are hydrolyzed to the corresponding carbonyl compounds in good yields in aqueous MeCN at room temperature by 1.5 equiv. of DDQ. $^{4)}$

First, 2-(p-chlorophenyl)-1,3-dithiane ($\underline{1a}$) (R¹ = p-ClC₆H₄, R² = H) was treated with various amounts of DDQ in MeCN-H₂O (9:1) under nitrogen at room temperature. When 1.0, 1.2, and 1.5 equiv. of DDQ were employed, p-chlorobenzaldehyde ($\underline{2a}$) was isolated in 64, 69, and 87% yields, respectively. In the absence of water, most of dithiane 1a was recovered.

Next, the solvent effect was examined using 1.5 equiv. of DDQ. The results are summarized in Table 1. In polar solvents such as MeCN-H $_2$ O (9:1) or MeOH-H $_2$ O (9:1), dithiane <u>1a</u> was hydrolyzed to give aldehyde <u>2a</u> (Entries 1 and 2). In less polar solvents such as $C_6H_6-H_2$ O (9:1) or $CH_2Cl_2-H_2O$ (9:1), thioester <u>3a</u> (R¹ = p-Cl C_6H_4) was produced exclusively

(Entries 5 and 6).

$$\begin{array}{c}
R^{1} \searrow S \\
R^{2} \searrow S
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
R^{1} \searrow S \\
\underline{1}
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
R^{1} \searrow S \\
\underline{2}
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\underline{3}
\end{array}$$

Table 1. The reaction of dithiane 1a with DDQ

Entry	Solvent	Time/h	Sime/h Conv./%		Yield/% ^{a)} <u>2a 3a</u>	
1	MeCN-H ₂ O (9:1)	2	100	87	0	
2	$MeOH-H_2O$ (9:1)	6	61	32	0	
3	THF-H ₂ O (9:1)	2	27	9	0	
4	Et ₂ O-H ₂ O (9:1)	3	56	31	22	
5	$C_6H_6-H_2O$ (9:1)	4	62	0	39	
6	$CH_2Cl_2-H_2O(9:1)^{b}$	5	100	0	93	

a) Isolated yields. b) 14 ml of the solvent was used.

Finally, hydrolysis of various dithianes was examined (Table 2). In most cases, the reaction proceeds smoothly to give the corresponding aldehydes or ketones in good yields. Dithianes <u>1b</u> and <u>1c</u> possessing an electron-withdrawing group such as nitro and cyano groups on the benzene ring required longer reaction time (Entries 1 and 2). Dithianes <u>1e</u> and <u>1f</u> bearing electron-donative groups on the benzene ring afforded thioesters <u>3e</u> and 3f as well as aldehydes 2e and 2f (Entries 5 and 6).

As shown in Scheme 1, we suppose that deprotection of dithianes occurs via a single electron transfer (SET) mechanism, $^{5,\,6)}$ although the details are not clear at this moment. The first step is a SET process from dithiane $\underline{1}$ to DDQ. Subsequent reaction of the resulting cation radical \underline{A} , which involves carbon-sulfur bond cleavage, the attack by water and the second SET process, leads to aldehyde $\underline{2}$. 1,2-Dithiolane $\underline{4}^{8)}$ (2%), polymeric organosulfur compound $\underline{5}^{8)}$ (42%) and DDQH $_2$ (1.0 equiv.) were isolated from the reaction of $\underline{1}\underline{a}$ with DDQ. Addition of 1,2,4,5-tetramethoxybenzene (TMB) (1.5 equiv.), which is a SET quencher, suppressed the formation of $\underline{2}\underline{i}$ (2%), while 1,4-dimethoxybenzene (DMB) did not. These results suggest that SET processes are involved in the reaction. On the other hand, a possible mechanism for the formation of thioester $\underline{3}$ is shown in Scheme 2. Proton transfer from cation radical \underline{A} to DDQ anion radical would lead to a

geminate radical pair. The second SET process would produce a geminate ion pair and cation $\underline{6}$ is hydrolyzed to give $\underline{7}$. Compound $\underline{7}$ is further oxidized to $\underline{3}$ by DDQ. Under these reaction conditions employed, thiols such as 1-dodecanethiol were oxidized to disulfides rapidly by DDQ. Oxidation of p-methoxybenzilidene acetals to the corresponding esters by DDQ is known and explained by the similar mechanism.

Tahla	2	The	reaction	$\circ f$	dithianes	12-1	with	DDQ	in	201160116	MeCN
iabie	۷.	1116	reaction	OI	urumanes	$1a^{-1}$	M T CII	שעע	7 1 1	aqueous	MECIA

Entry	Dithiane	e R ¹	R^2	Time/h	Conv./%	Yield/% ^{a)}
1	<u>1b</u>	$^{\mathrm{p-O_2NC_6H_4}}$	Н	6	66	30
2	<u>1c</u>	p-NCC ₆ H ₄	Н	6	76	61
3	<u>1a</u>	p-C1C ₆ H ₄	Н	2	100	87
4	<u>1d</u>	Ph	Н	1	100	70 ^{b)}
5	<u>1e</u>	p-MeC ₆ H ₄	Н	3	100	88 ^{c)}
6	<u>1f</u>	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Н	2	100	43 ^{d)}
7	<u>1g</u>	PhCH ₂ CH ₂	Н	2	91	70
8	<u>1h</u>	n-C ₁₁ H ₂₃	Н	1	95	71
9	<u>li</u>	Ph	Me	0.5	100	7 5
10	<u>1 j</u>	Ph	Ph	2	87	82
11	<u>1k</u>	-(CH ₂) ₂ CH(t-Bu	ı) (CH ₂) ₂ -	1.5	97	81
12	<u>11</u>	n-C ₉ H ₁₉	Me	1	100	87

a) Isolated yields of $\underline{2}$. b) Determined by ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

c) Thioester $\underline{3e}$ was obtained in 8% yield. d) Thioester $\underline{3f}$ was obtained in 41% yield.

A typical experimental procedure is as follows: To a solution of $\underline{1a}$ (1.0 mmol) in MeCN-H₂O (9:1) (3.5 ml), was added a solution of DDQ (1.5 mmol) in MeCN-H₂O (9:1) (3.5 ml) under nitrogen. After stirring for 2 h at room temperature, the mixture was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ (50 ml) and extracted with ether. The extracts were washed with water, dried and evaporated and the residue was chromatographed (benzene) on silica gel to give 2a in 87% yield.

References

- 1) T. W. Greene and P. G. M. Wuts, "Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis," John Wiley and Sons, New York (1991), p. 198-207.
- 2) K. Tanemura, T. Suzuki, and T. Horaguchi, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 979.
- 3) A. Oku, M. Kinugasa, and T. Kamada, Chem. Lett., <u>1993</u>, 165.
- 4) This work was presented at the Joint Council of the Chemical Society of Japan (Niigata Conference), Niigata, August 1993, p. 138. Recently, similar cleavage reactions of thioacetals using DDQ under reflux conditions have been reported by Sankararaman et al.; L. Mathew and S. Sankararaman, J. Org. Chem., 58, 7576 (1993).
- 5) a) M. Platen and E. Steckhan, Chem. Ber., <u>117</u>, 1679 (1984); b) A.S. Kiselyov and L. Strekowski, Tetrahedron, 49, 2151 (1993).
- 6) A SET mechanism involving dithiane dication is also known; M. Kamata, H. Otogawa, and E. Hasegawa, Tetrahedron Lett., 32, 7421 (1991).
- 7) A small amount of acidic materials (see Ref. 3) resulting from the decomposition of DDQ in aqueous MeCN did not cause deprotection of <u>la</u> at all.
- 8) J.G. Affleck and G. Dougherty, J. Org. Chem., <u>15</u>, 865 (1950).
- 9) $E_{OX}(\underline{1i}) = 1.13 \text{ V}$, $E_{OX}(TMB) = 0.77 \text{ V}$, $E_{OX}(DMB) = 1.28 \text{ V}$ (vs. SCE in MeCN) (from Ref. 5a and 6).
- 10) Y. Oikawa, T. Yoshioka, and O. Yonemitsu, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>23</u>, 889 (1982).

(Received March 2, 1994)